


RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 31 2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
_____ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306.	
<u>03/31/05</u> Date	 Signature <u>RAQUEL WEST</u> Type or printed name of person signing certificate

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor:	Andrew Ingle
Application No.:	10/666,443
Filing Date:	September 19, 2003
Group Art Unit:	2822
Examiner:	Rose, Kiesha L.
Title:	Encapsulated Organic Electronic Device
Attorney Docket No.:	2003P12964US

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement for the above case was mailed on February 10, 2005 with a 30-day period to respond. Thus, the due date for the Response was March 12, 2005. In addition to this Response, the Applicant is also submitting a petition for a one-month extension in order to extend the due date from March 12, 2005 to April 12, 2005.

Docket No.: 2003P06350US

Group I is directed to the semiconductor device, and Group II is directed to the method of fabricating the semiconductor device. The Examiner asserts that Group I and Group II are distinct inventions.

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants assert that the search and examination of all of the claims can be made without serious burden to the Examiner, therefore, the Examiner should examine all the claims on the merits even if the application includes claims to two independent or distinct inventions. See MPEP § 803.

In the event that the instant Restriction Requirement is maintained despite the above argument, the Applicants provisionally elect Group I (i.e., claims 1-9 and 21-29) with traverse for the reasons presented above, and respectfully request that upon the finding of allowability of Group I, the claims of Group II be rejoined as set forth at MPEP 821.04.

The Applicants expressly reserve the right under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the nonelected subject matter during the pendency of this application, or an application claiming priority of this application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance and seek early allowance thereof. If for some reason the Examiner is unable to allow the claims in the next Office Action and believes that an interview would be helpful, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

Date: March 28, 2005

CUSTOMER NUMBER 28524
SIEMENS CORPORATION
Intellectual Property Department
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, New Jersey 08830
ATTENTION: Elsa Keller, IP Department
Telephone: (732) 321-3026

Respectfully requested,

By: Thomas George
Thomas George
Registration No. 45,740
Attorney for Applicants
Tel: 650-694-5191
Fax: 650-968-4517